

REVIEW ARTICLE



RECORDS OF PHARMACEUTICAL AND BIOMEDICAL SCIENCES



The Vaginal Microbiota during Pregnancy

Mariam E. Amin^a, Amro Hanora^{a*}, Marwa Azab^a, Khaled Atwa^b and Sarah Shabayek^a

^a Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Faculty of Pharmacy, Suez Canal University, Ismailia, Egypt.

^b Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, Suez Canal University, Ismailia, Egypt.

Received on: 16. 02. 2023

Revised on: 27. 02. 2023

Accepted on: 03. 03. 2023

* Correspondence Author:

Tel: +201000323406

E-mail address:

a.hanora@pharm.suez.edu.eg

Abstract

Fetal development is considered to occur inside the microbiota-free intrauterine environment. Depending on recently published data resources, it is anticipated that the neonatal microbiome is established primarily throughout the amniotic membrane rupture, with extra microbiota introduced by the passage of the fetus through the vaginal birth canal. Upon delivery, the neonate has been familiarized with the maternal microbiota of the vaginal ecosystem. Since the fetus is introduced to numerous bacterial environments during the early neonatal interval such as the vaginal canal, skin, and rectum, it is important to describe the potential influence of the maternal vaginal microbial community on neonates. Dynamic changes in the vaginal microbiome during the pregnancy including decreased vaginal diversity and *Lactobacillus*-dominance contribute to diminished risk of preterm birth as well as other adverse pregnancy outcomes. This minireview summarizes the composition of the vaginal microbiota during normal pregnancy as revealed by culture-independent high-throughput sequencing-based techniques such as 16S rRNA next-generation sequencing and metagenomic shotgun sequencing techniques.

Keywords: vaginal microbiome; pregnancy; *Lactobacillus*; sequencing techniques; 16S rRNA; metagenomic; shotgun sequencing.

1. Introduction

The most incredible event in microbial ecology has been the emergence of microbiome profiling and metagenomics. These are achieved by 16S rRNA next-generation sequencing and shotgun metagenomic sequencing involve the direct analysis of entire microbiota present within an environmental sample providing relatively full access to the composition and diversity of the functional genes in the surrounding uncultured microbial communities. These culture-independent methods helped in revealing the microbial diversity within complex ecosystems, their metabolic repertoire and better understand the microbial community dynamics (Zhou et al., 2004; Cox et al., 2013; Mendz et al., 2016).

The evolution of the high-throughput DNA sequencing techniques increased the capability to study the microbiota inhabiting different human body sites. The vagina is one of several human body sites where bacterial communities normally exist (Greenbaum et al., 2019). The human vagina together with the residing bacterial microbiota represent a balanced ecosystem (Romero et al., 2014a). Microbiota plays vital roles in both health and disease, including protection against pathogens, nutrient achievement, and immunity. Normal pregnancy is primarily a transient, and dynamic state of reformed anatomy and physiology. Preterm birth before 37 weeks of gestation, represents 11% of pregnancies and is the most causative agent of neonatal death (DiGiulio et al., 2015).

This minireview summarizes the composition of the vaginal microbiota during normal pregnancy as revealed by culture-independent high-throughput sequencing-based techniques such as 16S rRNA next-generation sequencing and metagenomic shotgun sequencing techniques.

2. Techniques applied for analysis of the vaginal microbial ecosystem

2.1 Culture-dependent techniques

The use of culture techniques allows the detection of the phenotypic characteristics of the isolated microorganisms, like nutritional production or requirements, and the consumption of the metabolic compounds. Therefore, laboratory-growing organisms are essential for both microbial identification and characterization. These culture-dependent techniques are only restricted to those organisms which have the ability to grow under *in vitro* conditions where only the minority of the microbial species can grow. Therefore, culture-independent techniques depending on DNA, evolved to overcome the limits of culture-dependent ones (Morgan and Huttenhower, 2012).

2.2 Culture-independent techniques

It is estimated that about (20% - 60%) of the human microbiome, depending on the body site, is unculturable (Zhou et al., 2004; Peterson et al., 2009). Recently, molecular techniques and sequencing provided fast analyses with lower costs. They induced significant progress in the study of human-

associated microbial communities. These techniques do not depend on the cultured microorganisms but rather rely on the sequencing of the total DNA extracted directly from the samples of the microbial communities. These sequencing techniques are known as high-throughput sequencing. They have a significant and valuable impact on disease diagnosis, especially of human genetic diseases and cancers (**Ma and Ellis, 2013; Pinto et al., 2014; Renkema et al., 2014; Stadler et al., 2014**).

There are two approaches commonly used for the characterization of human-associated microbial communities. The first approach depends on the amplification of the highly conserved genes like the 16S ribosomal RNA (16S rRNA) gene of the small subunit of the ribosome in Bacteria and Archaea. Then, analysis of these genes using phylogenetic identification by comparison with the sequence databases. The second approach includes the isolation, sequencing, and analysis of whole DNA present in a particular environment, defined as metagenome or metagenomic shotgun sequencing (MSS). While the first strategy reveals the microbial composition depending on taxonomy, the second metagenomic approach provides information about both the taxonomy of the microbial community and the metabolic functions encoded by microbial genomes. There are modern sequencing platforms like 454, Ion Torrent, and Illumina. They depend on using barcodes to differentiate between samples that are sequenced simultaneously, providing huge volumes of data, that may reach millions of reads, and greater coverage compared to the traditional Sanger sequencing technique (**Handelsman, 2004;**

Hamady and Knight, 2009; Wang et al., 2015).

More recently published studies have described the human microbiome using the sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene as a metagenomic marker, like the studies of the gut microbiome showing the significant diversity in the flora, and the differences in the microbiome of obese against lean donors, then the studying the microbiome of the infants. Also, there are studies that have used the 16S rRNA gene for molecular characterization of the oral microbiome, the vaginal microbiome, and the skin microbiome (**Eckburg et al., 2005; Hyman et al., 2005; Ley et al., 2006; Gao et al., 2007; Mohamed et al., 2020; Amin et al. 2023**).

Studies of the vaginal microflora using the cultivation-independent broad-range (Polymerase Chain Reaction) “PCR” analyses of 16S rRNA gene from the microbial communities reveal richer microbiota with a much large number of taxa than those identified through culturing methods (**Ravel et al., 2011; Srinivasan et al., 2012**). In particular, the identity and the diversity of the vaginal bacterial communities during pregnancy largely remain unknown for the different racial backgrounds, health status, and lifestyle (**Gupta et al., 2020**). The most limitations to the use of high-throughput sequencing techniques are the lack of diagnostic centers for performing fast sample analyses, and the large datasets generated by these methods to be analyzed (**Mendz et al., 2016**).

3. The vagina

The vaginal opening is the opening between the urethral opening and the anus. The vagina is anatomically situated anterior to the rectum and posterior to both the urinary bladder and the urethra. It is enclosed by the Bartholin's glands or greater vestibular glands. The vagina is a fibromuscular canal from 6 to 12 cm in length. It is the exit from the uterus throughout the menses and childbirth. The outer walls of the vagina are formed of ridges. The superior part of the vagina is called the fornix, which meets the protruding cervix (Standring, 2008; Ramírez-González et al., 2016).

The vagina is lined with an outer fibrous adventitia and the inner mucous membrane with transverse folds called vaginal rugae. Both the middle and the inner layers together permit vaginal expansion through intercourse and childbirth. The Bartholin's glands and the vestibular glands which are located near the clitoris secrete the mucus, which plays a vital role in keeping the moisture. The vagina is the shelter of the normal population of microbiota or the normal flora which protects against infectious diseases by pathogenic bacteria, yeast, or other organisms. In healthy women, the most predominant genus of vaginal bacteria is *Lactobacillus*. This beneficial flora secretes lactic acid, which keeps the vagina healthy by maintaining an acidic vaginal pH of < 4.5. Pathogens cannot persist in this acidic environment. Therefore, Lactic acid together with the other secretions of the vagina, make the vagina a self-cleansing organ (Graziottin and Murina, 2011). Meanwhile, douching or washing fluids might disrupt the normal balance of the healthy normal vaginal flora increasing the risk of diseases and irritation. Consequently,

the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists recommends not douching, to allow the vagina to maintain its normal healthy microbial composition (Betts et al., 2013; Rosner et al., 2020; Hoare and Khan, 2021).

4. Human vaginal microbiota

The complicated interactions between the host cells, bacterial microbiota, and the immune components of the female reproductive tract are vital for maintaining the female reproductive tract homeostasis (Gholiof et al., 2022). Microbiota is defined as the microbial community found in an environment. This term was first well-defined by Lederberg and McCray (2001). The microbiota could be established by the evolution of the molecular methods for the analysis of 16S or 18S rRNA or any other marker genes, where taxonomic assignments is done through using multiple tools which define each sequence to the microbial taxon including bacteria, archaea, fungi, and the lower eukaryotes from phylum level to the species level (Marchesi and Ravel, 2015; Berg et al., 2020).

4.1 Bacterial vaginal communities

The human bacterial vaginal microbial communities are considered an important defense barrier against infectious diseases. Studies of females from various ethnicity or race backgrounds, Caucasians, Hispanics, Americans, Africans, and Asians, showed that most communities in each group are dominated by a single species of *Lactobacillus*, belonging to either *L. iners*, *L. crispatus*, *L. gasseri*, or *L. jensenii*. These results explain the possible alterations in the

metabolic pathways which may change the levels of the production of lactic acid, and subsequently, the levels of protection would vary accordingly in each ethnic group (Zhou et al., 2007; Ravel et al., 2011; Ma et al., 2012; Stout et al., 2020). The bacterial vaginal microbiota plays also a vital role in the colonization and the development of the neonatal microbiome throughout the maternal-offspring exchange during the delivery. Cesarean sections and antibiotics can disrupt this microbiota exchange resulting in an increased risk of different diseases like diabetes and obesity (Mueller et al., 2015).

Five vaginal bacterial community state types (CSTs) have been defined (Ravel et al., 2011). Four of these CSTs are dominated by *Lactobacillus*, *L. crispatus* (CST I), *L. gasseri* (CST II), *L. iners* (CST III), and *L. jensenii* (CST V). CST IV is characterized by low levels of *Lactobacillus* and increased diversity of anaerobic bacteria involving *Prevotella*, *Atopobium vaginae*, *Dialister*, *Gardnerella vaginalis*, *Peptoniphilus*, *Megasphaera*, *Sneathia*, *Mobiluncus*, and *Finegoldia*. These species are mainly associated with bacterial vaginosis, a clinical syndrome of vaginal discharge. This is usually associated with a characteristic vaginal odor characterized by polymicrobial overgrowth. CST IV has been correlated with the increased risk of preterm birth (PTB) (Hillier et al., 1995; Flynn et al., 1999), and the histological chorioamnionitis (Martius and Eschenbach, 1990; Gibbs, 1993; Takei and Ruiz, 2006).

Interestingly, in the American populations, the vaginal bacterial communities are dominated *Lactobacillus* (CST I, II, III, and

V) are most observed in Asian and White women, while a diverse microbiome (CST IV) is more frequently observed in the Black and Hispanic populations suggesting that the composition and the structure of the vaginal microbiome may be shaped by the genetic differences between hosts and by the cultural and behavioral factors (Zhou et al., 2007; Ravel et al., 2011). These findings have been recently confirmed and extended by Fettweis and colleagues who identified clear ethnic-related differences in the vaginal microbiome of a large population of healthy Black and White Northern American women (Fettweis et al., 2014).

4.1.1 Vaginal microbiome during pregnancy

4.1.1.1 Vaginal microbiome during the uncomplicated pregnancy

The phylum *Firmicutes* was reported to be the most prevailing member of the vaginal microbiota throughout pregnancy (Li et al., 2020; Mohamed et al., 2020; Sroka-Oleksiak et al., 2020). Meanwhile, at the genus level, *Lactobacillus*, previously called Döderlein Bacillus, is defined as the main commensal of the human vagina (Thomas, 1928). Pregnancy is associated with increased levels of circulating placental estrogen. These high levels of estradiol enhance glycogen deposition in the vaginal epithelium. Then, α -amylase of host vaginal mucosa breaks glycogen into products involving maltose, maltotriose, as well as maltotetraose which support *Lactobacillus* proliferation (MacIntyre et al., 2015; Juliana et al., 2021). This dominance of *Lactobacillus* is accompanied by the health status of the vagina, and it is thought to be

protective against the invasion of non-indigenous pathogens (Mirmonsef et al., 2011; Miller et al., 2016; Gupta et al., 2020). This is mainly achieved by maintaining a vaginal pH less than 4.5 through lactic acid production. Moreover, these lactobacilli act as a protective barrier to microbes by competing for adhesion sites of the vaginal epithelial cells and the production of antimicrobial substances like, hydrogen peroxide, and bacteriocin-like compounds. The aptitude of lactobacilli to inhibit infectious microbes without prompting inflammation may enhance fecundity as well as successful pregnancy outcomes (Zhou et al., 2004; O'Hanlon et al., 2013; Borges et al., 2014; Miller et al., 2016; Witkin and Linhares, 2017; Pino et al., 2019; Grewal et al., 2021).

Under the normal physiological conditions of pregnancy, the most frequently reported *Lactobacillus* species of a healthy vagina include *L. crispatus*, *L. iners*, *L. gasseri*, and *L. jensenii*. The vaginal microbiome is relatively more stable during pregnancy and is characterized by a significant decrease in richness and diversity compared to non-pregnant state. (Ravel et al., 2011; Aagaard et al., 2012; Romero et al., 2014a; Amir et al., 2020). It is noteworthy that *L. crispatus* is most prevalent among European pregnant populations while *L. iners* is most prevalent among African pregnant populations (Ravel et al., 2011; Juliana et al., 2021; Zheng et al., 2021; Shabayek et al., 2022, Amin et al., 2023). According to Sroka-Oleksiak et al. (2020), *L. iners* was the most predominant *Lactobacillus* among healthy Caucasian pregnant women as well.

4.1.1.2 *Vaginal microbiome of pregnant women with vaginal infections*

Preterm birth (PTB) at < 37 weeks of gestation is a major cause of neonatal mortality. The risk of PTB is inversely related to gestational age (Witkin, 2015). Increased vaginal microbiome diversity with a low abundance of *lactobacilli* contribute as a risk factor of PTB. Interestingly, *L. crispatus* dominant vaginal communities were reported as protective against PTB (Shi et al., 2020; Gudnadottir et al., 2022).

In a study of 374 pregnant women, a culture-independent technique using the 16S rRNA genes of 12 bacterial taxa was carried out on fluid collected from the upper part of the vagina. This study revealed that the vaginal bacterial community in the second trimester of pregnancy was correlated with the birth outcome but this correlation depended on the race or the ethnicity of the mother. *Mycoplasma* was positively correlated with the PTB in both black and Hispanic groups of participants, while this association was not observed in white participants. Although a specific Group B *Streptococcus* lineage was associated with bacterial vaginosis, it was showing a negative correlation with PTB (Wen et al., 2014). Another study of 88 pregnant women from different racial groups using the 16S rRNA gene amplification, displayed that the vaginal microbiome diversity in human pregnancy was correlated with PTB. Race, ethnicity, and the sampling site were also important factors (Hyman et al., 2014). Preterm pre-labour rupture of the fetal membranes (PPROM) represents 30% of PTB, it is strongly correlated with vaginal infections as well as prophylactic antibiotics used. Likewise, the vaginal microbiota

composition associated with *Lactobacillus* depletion is an important factor for PPRM (Brown et al., 2018). Romero and coworkers (Romero et al., 2014b) conducted a case-control study, using pyrosequencing of the 16S rRNA gene to investigate the differences in the vaginal microbiome of pregnant women giving birth at term or PTB. The study included 18 women with pregnancy complicated by the spontaneous PTB and 72 controls with an uncomplicated healthy pregnancy. No differences were found in the relative abundance of the vaginal microbial phylotypes. Likewise, there were no differences in the frequency of the CSTs between the groups.

4.2 Viral vaginal communities

Most of the studies of the vaginal microbiome focus on bacterial communities. One reason for this is that the sequencing techniques used for research of the bacterial microbiome do not work well for viruses and fungi. Another reason is that viruses are a group of highly variable microbes which, unlike bacteria, do not have the conserved gene which can be used for the amplicon-based characterization of the viral community. Besides, viruses have very diverse genomic structures of DNA or RNA and could be as single-stranded or double-stranded. Most importantly, the abundance of the viral genome within samples is very low relative to bacterial and host genomes (Knipe and Howley, 2013; Stout et al., 2020).

According to the human microbiome project (HMP), viruses could be detected in the vaginal samples of asymptomatic, healthy, reproductive-aged women (Wylie et al.,

2014). The viral vaginal communities were found to include different types of herpesviruses and alpha papillomaviruses. These were found in about 37% of subjects. Furthermore, the presence of the viral community in the vaginal sample was correlated with the high-diversity of bacterial communities and the presence of anaerobic bacterial taxa. This bacterial-viral relationship has been detected in several studies and appears to be susceptible to viral infections compared to women who have vaginal bacterial communities rich in *Lactobacillus*. Although the women sampled were asymptomatic, the presence of these viruses might affect future health (Mao et al., 2003; Gillet et al., 2011).

4.3 Fungal vaginal communities

The fungal component of the vaginal mycobiome is not well characterized compared to the bacterial communities. The vaginal mycobiome, and the resources available to characterize it, are more limited. The fungal analysis is difficult because the samples are exceedingly susceptible to environmental contamination (Drell et al., 2013; Bradford and Ravel, 2017).

However, *Candida* is a common fungus in the vagina. Some studies showed that the *C. albicans* represented about 20–70% of samples tested by both the culture-dependent techniques and the amplicon-based sequencing. These fungi are frequently carried asymptotically but also can cause symptomatic infectious diseases (Beigi et al., 2004; Drell et al., 2013; Bradford and Ravel, 2017). Previous literature demonstrated a significant association of *C. albicans* with the high-diversity and

Lactobacillus-poor anaerobic bacterial communities (Liu et al., 2013; Pramanick et al., 2019) as well as the vaginal colonization of group B *Streptococcus*, *Trichomonas vaginalis*, and *Escherichia coli* (Cotch et al., 1998; Beigi et al., 2004; Cools et al., 2016).

5. Conclusion

Vaginal microbiota plays vital roles in both health and disease, including protection against pathogens and nutrient achievement. Pregnancy is associated with augmented levels of circulating placental estrogen which support *Lactobacillus* proliferation. These lactobacilli act as a protective barrier to microbes by competing for adhesion sites of the vaginal epithelial cells and the production of antimicrobial substances. Recently, molecular techniques and sequencing ones provide professional analyses of more microbiota without culturing. They induce significant progress in the study of pregnancy-associated microbial communities.

6. References

- Aagaard K, Riehle K, Ma J, Segata N, Mistretta TA, Coarfa C, et al. (2012). A metagenomic approach to characterization of the vaginal microbiome signature in pregnancy. *PLoS One* 7: e36466.
- Amin ME, Azab M, Hanora A, Atwa K, and Shabayek S. (2023). Compositional Changes in the Vaginal Bacterial Microbiome of Healthy Pregnant Women across the Three Gestational Trimesters in Ismailia, Egypt. *Microorganisms* 11(1):139.
- Amir M, Brown JA, Rager SL, Sanidad KZ, Ananthanarayanan A, and Zeng MY. (2020). Maternal Microbiome and Infections in Pregnancy. *Microorganisms* 8:1-21.
- Beigi RH, Meyn LA, Moore DM, Krohn MA, and Hillier SL. (2004). Vaginal yeast colonization in nonpregnant women: a longitudinal study. *Obstetrics and Gynecology* 104:926-30.
- Berg G, Rybakova D, Fischer D, Cernava T, Vergès MC, Charles T, et al. (2020). Microbiome definition re-visited: old concepts and new challenges. *Microbiome* 8(1): 103.
- Betts JG, Young KA, Wise JA, Johnson E, Poe B, Kruse DH, et al. (2013). Anatomy and physiology of the female reproductive system. In: *Anatomy and physiology*. Houston, Texas. OpenStax.
- Borges S, Silva J, and Teixeira P. (2014). The role of lactobacilli and probiotics in maintaining vaginal health. *Archives of Gynecology and Obstetrics* 289(3):479-89.
- Bradford LL, and Ravel J. (2017). The vaginal mycobiome: a contemporary perspective on fungi in women's health and diseases. *Virulence* 8:342-351.
- Brown RG, Marchesi JR, Lee YS, Smith A, Lehne B, Kindinger LM. et al. (2018). Vaginal dysbiosis increases risk of preterm fetal membrane rupture, neonatal sepsis and is exacerbated by erythromycin. *BMC medicine* 16(1):9.

- Cools P, Jaspers V, Hardy L, Crucitti T, Delany-Moretlwe S, Mwaura M, et al. (2016). A multi-country cross-sectional study of vaginal carriage of Group B Streptococci (GBS) and Escherichia coli in resource-poor settings: prevalences and risk factors. *PLoS One* 11: e0148052.
- Cotch MF, Hillier SL, Gibbs RS, and Eschenbach DA. (1998). Epidemiology and outcomes associated with moderate to heavy Candida colonization during pregnancy. Vaginal infections and prematurity study group. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* 178:374-380.
- Cox MJ, Cookson WO, and Moffatt MF. (2013). Sequencing the human microbiome in health and disease. *Human Molecular Genetics* 22(R1):R88-94.
- DiGiulio DB, Callahan BJ, McMurdie PJ, Costello EK, Lyell DJ, Robaczewska A, et al. (2015). Temporal and spatial variation of the human microbiota during pregnancy. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 112(35):11060-11065.
- Drell T, Lillsaar T, Tummeleht L, Simm J, Aaspollu A, Vain E, et al. (2013). Characterization of the vaginal micro- and mycobiome in asymptomatic reproductive-age Estonian women. *PLoS One* 8: e54379.
- Eckburg PB, Bik EM, Bernstein CN, Purdom E, Dethlefsen L, Sargent M, et al. (2005). Diversity of the human intestinal microbial flora. *Science* 308:1635-1638.
- Fettweis JM, Brooks JP, Serrano MG, Sheth NU, Girerd PH, Edwards DJ, et al. (2014). Differences in vaginal microbiome in African American women versus women of European ancestry. *Microbiology* 160:2272-2282.
- Flynn CA, Helwig AL, and Meurer LN. (1999). Bacterial vaginosis in pregnancy and the risk of prematurity: a meta-analysis. *The Journal of family practice* 48:885-892.
- Gao Z, Tseng CH, Pei Z, and Blaser MJ. (2007): Molecular analysis of human forearm superficial skin bacterial biota. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 104:2927-2932.
- Gholiof M, Adamson-De Luca E, and Wessels JM. (2022). The female reproductive tract microbiotas, inflammation, and gynecological conditions. *Frontiers in Reproductive Health* 4:963752.
- Gibbs RS. (1993). Chorioamnionitis and bacterial vaginosis. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* 169: 460-462.
- Gillet E, Meys JF, Verstraelen H, Bosire C, De Sutter P, Temmerman M, et al. (2011). Bacterial vaginosis is associated with uterine cervical human papillomavirus infection: a meta-analysis. *BMC Infectious Diseases* 11:10.
- Graziottin A, and Murina F. (2011). Clinical management of vulvodynia: Tips and tricks. Springer-Verlag, Milan.
- Greenbaum S, Greenbaum G, Moran-Gilad J, and Weintraub AY. (2019). Ecological dynamics of the vaginal microbiome in

- relation to health and disease. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* 220(4):324-335.
- Grewal K, MacIntyre DA, and Bennett PR. (2021). The reproductive tract microbiota in pregnancy. *Bioscience Reports* 41(9):BSR20203908.
- Gudnadottir U, Debelius JW, Du J, Hugerth LW, Danielsson H, Schuppe-Koistinen I, et al. (2022). The vaginal microbiome and the risk of preterm birth: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *Scientific reports* 12(1), 7926.
- Gupta P, Singh MP, and Goyal K. (2020). Diversity of Vaginal Microbiome in Pregnancy: Deciphering the Obscurity. *Frontiers in Public Health* 8:326.
- Hamady M, and Knight R. (2009). Microbial community profiling for human microbiome projects: Tools, techniques, and challenges. *Genome Research* 19:1141-1152.
- Handelsman J. (2004). Metagenomics: application of genomics to uncultured microorganisms. *Microbiology and molecular biology reviews: MMBR* 68(4):669–685.
- Hillier SL, Nugent RP, Eschenbach DA, Krohn MA, Gibbs RS, Martin DH, et al. (1995). Association between bacterial vaginosis and preterm delivery of a low-birth-weight infant. *The Vaginal Infections and Prematurity Study Group. The New England Journal of Medicine* 333:1737-1742.
- Hoare BS, and Khan YS. (2021). Anatomy, Abdomen and pelvis, female internal genitals. In: *StatPearls Publishing*. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK554601/>.
- Hyman RW, Fukushima M, Diamond L, Kumm J, Giudice LC, and Davis RW. (2005). Microbes on the human vaginal epithelium. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 102: 7952–7957.
- Hyman RW, Fukushima M, Jiang H, Fung E, Rand L, Johnson B, et al. (2014). Diversity of the vaginal microbiome correlates with preterm birth. *Reproductive sciences (Thousand Oaks, Calif.)* 21(1), 32–40.
- Juliana NCA, Peters RPH, Al-Nasiry S, Budding AE, Morr  SA, and Ambrosino E. (2021). Composition of the vaginal microbiota during pregnancy in women living in sub-Saharan Africa: a PRISMA-compliant review. *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth* 21(1):596.
- Knipe DM, and Howley PM. (2013). *Fields Virology*. 6th ed. Philadelphia, PA: Wolters Kluwer/Lippincott Williams & Wilkins Health.
- Lederberg J, and McCray AT. (2001). ‘Ome sweet’ omics - a genealogical treasury of words. *Scientist* 15(7):8–8.
- Ley RE, Turnbaugh PJ, Klein S, and Gordon JI. (2006). Microbial ecology: Human gut microbes associated with obesity. *Nature* 444: 1022–1023.
- Li D, Chi XZ, Zhang L, Chen R, Cao JR, Sun XY, et al. (2020). Vaginal microbiome analysis of healthy women during different

- periods of gestation. *Bioscience Reports* 40(7):BSR20201766.
- Liu MB, Xu SR, He Y, Deng GH, Sheng HF, Huang XM, et al. (2013). Diverse vaginal microbiomes in reproductive-age women with vulvovaginal candidiasis. *PLoS One* 8: e79812.
- Ma B, Forney LJ, and Ravel J. (2012). Vaginal microbiome: rethinking health and disease. *Annual review of microbiology* 66:371–389.
- Ma CX, and Ellis MJ. (2013). The cancer genome atlas: clinical applications for breast cancer. *Oncology* 27:1263-69, 1274–79.
- MacIntyre DA, Chandiramani M, Lee YS, Kindinger L, Smith A, Angelopoulos N, et al. (2015). The vaginal microbiome during pregnancy and the postpartum period in a European population. *Scientific reports* 5:8988.
- Mao C, Hughes JP, Kiviat N, Kuypers J, Lee SK, Adam DE et al. (2003). Clinical findings among young women with genital human papillomavirus infection. *American Journal of Obstetrics Gynecology* 188:677-684.
- Marchesi JR, and Ravel J. (2015). The vocabulary of microbiome research: a proposal. *Microbiome* 3:31.
- Martius J, and Eschenbach DA. (1990). The role of bacterial vaginosis as a cause of amniotic fluid infection, chorioamnionitis and prematurity--a review. *Archives of Gynecology and Obstetrics* 247: 1–13.
- Menz G, Kaakoush N, and Quinlivan J. (2016). New techniques to characterise the vaginal microbiome in pregnancy. *AIMS Microbiology* 2(1):55-68.
- Miller EA, Beasley DE, Dunn RR, and Archie EA. (2016). Lactobacilli Dominance and Vaginal pH: Why Is the Human Vaginal Microbiome Unique? *Frontiers in Microbiology* 7:1936.
- Mirmonsef P, Gilbert D, Zariffard MR, Hamaker BR, Kaur A, Landay AL, et al. (2011). The effects of commensal bacteria on innate immune responses in the female genital tract. *American Journal of Reproductive Immunology* 65(3):190-195.
- Mohamed I, Zakeer S, Azab M, and Hanora A. (2020). Changes in Vaginal Microbiome in Pregnant and Nonpregnant Women with Bacterial Vaginosis: Toward Microbiome Diagnostics? *OMICS* 24(10):602-614.
- Morgan XC, and Huttenhower, C. (2012). Chapter 12: Human microbiome analysis. *PLoS Computational Biology* 8:e1002808.
- Mueller NT, Bakacs E, Combellick J, Grigoryan Z, and Dominguez-Bello MG. (2015). The infant microbiome development: mom matters. *Trends in Molecular Medicine* 21(2):109-117.
- O'Hanlon DE, Moench TR, and Cone RA. (2013). Vaginal pH and microbicidal lactic acid when lactobacilli dominate the microbiota. *PLoS One* 8(11):e80074.
- Peterson J, Garges S, Giovanni M, McInnes P, Wang L, Schloss JA, et al. (2009). The NIH Human Microbiome Project. *Genome Research* 19(12):2317-2323.

- Pino A, Bartolo E, Caggia C, Cianci A, and Randazzo CL. (2019). Detection of vaginal lactobacilli as probiotic candidates. *Scientific Reports* 9(1):3355.
- Pinto R, De Summa S, Petriella D, Tudoran O, Danza K, and Tommasi S. (2014). The value of new high-throughput technologies for diagnosis and prognosis in solid tumors. *Cancer Biomarkers* 14:103–117.
- Pramanick R, Mayadeo N, Warke H, Begum S, Aich P, and Aranha C. (2019). Vaginal microbiota of asymptomatic bacterial vaginosis and vulvovaginal candidiasis: are they different from normal microbiota? *Microbial Pathogenesis* 134:103599.
- Ramírez-González J, Vaamonde-Lemos R, Cunha-Filho J, Varghese A, and Swanson R. (2016). Overview of the Female Reproductive System. In: Vaamonde, D., du Plessis, S., Agarwal, A. (eds) *Exercise and Human Reproduction*. Springer, New York, NY. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-3402-7_2.
- Ravel J, Gajer P, Abdo Z, Schneider GM, Koenig SS, McCulle SL, et al. (2011). Vaginal microbiome of reproductive-age women. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 108:4680–4687.
- Renkema KY, Stokman MF, Giles RH, and Knoers NVAM. (2014). Next-generation sequencing for research and diagnostics in kidney disease. *Nature Reviews Nephrology* 10:433-44.
- Romero R, Hassan SS, Gajer P, Tarca AL, Fadrosch DW, Nikita L, et al. (2014a). The composition and stability of the vaginal microbiota of normal pregnant women is different from that of non-pregnant women. *Microbiome* 2(1):4.
- Romero R, Hassan SS, Gajer P, Tarca AL, Fadrosch DW, Bieda J, et al. (2014b). The vaginal microbiota of pregnant women who subsequently have spontaneous preterm labor and delivery and those with a normal delivery at term. *Microbiome* 2:18.
- Rosner J, Samardzic T, and Sarao MS. (2020). Physiology, female reproduction. In: *StatPearls Publishing*. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK537132/>.
- Shabayek S, Abdellah AM, Salah M, Ramadan M, and Fahmy N. (2022). Alterations of the vaginal microbiome in healthy pregnant women positive for group B Streptococcus colonization during the third trimester. *BMC Microbiology* 22(1):313.
- Shi Y, Tanimura K, Sasagawa Y, and Yamada H. (2020). Vaginal microbiota associated with preterm delivery. *Journal of infection and chemotherapy: official journal of the Japan Society of Chemotherapy* 26(11):1134–1138.
- Srinivasan S, Hoffman NG, Morgan MT, Matsen FA, Fiedler TL, Hall RW, et al. (2012). Bacterial communities in women with bacterial vaginosis: high resolution phylogenetic analyses reveal relationships of microbiota to clinical criteria. *PLoS One* 7:e37818.

- Sroka-Oleksiak A, Gosiewski T, Pabian W, Gurgul A, Kapusta P, Ludwig-Słomczyńska AH, et al. (2020). Next-Generation Sequencing as a Tool to Detect Vaginal Microbiota Disturbances during Pregnancy. *Microorganisms* 8(11):1813.
- Stadler ZK, Schrader KA, Vijai J, Robson ME, and Offit K. (2014): Cancer genomics and inherited risk. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* 32:687-98.
- Standring S. (2008). Gray's Anatomy: The Anatomical Basis of Clinical Practice, 40th edn. Churchill Livingstone- Elsevier, New York.
- Stout MJ, Wylie TN, Gula H, Miller A, and Wylie KM. (2020). The microbiome of the human female reproductive tract. *Current Opinion in Physiology* 13:87–93.
- Takei H, and Ruiz B. (2006). Shift in vaginal flora (bacterial vaginosis) and the frequency of chorioamnionitis in a high-risk population. *Acta Cytologica* 50:410–414.
- Thomas S. (1928). Döderlein Bacillus: lactobacillus acidophilus. *Journal of Infectious Diseases* 43:218–227.
- Wang WL, Xu SY, Ren ZG, Tao L, Jiang JW, and Zheng SS. (2015). Application of metagenomics in the human gut microbiome. *World Journal of Gastroenterology* 21(3):803–814.
- Wen A, Srinivasan U, Goldberg D, Owen J, Marrs CF, Misra D, et al. (2014). Selected vaginal bacteria and risk of preterm birth: an ecological perspective. *The Journal of infectious diseases* 209(7), 1087–1094.
- Witkin SS. (2015). The vaginal microbiome, vaginal anti-microbial defence mechanisms and the clinical challenge of reducing infection-related preterm birth. *BJOG: an international journal of obstetrics and gynaecology* 122(2): 213–218.
- Witkin SS, and Linhares IM. (2017). Why do lactobacilli dominate the human vaginal microbiota? *BJOG: an international journal of obstetrics and gynaecology* 124(4): 606–611.
- Wylie KM, Mihindukulasuriya KA, Zhou Y, Sodergren E, Storch GA, and Weinstock GM. (2014). Metagenomic analysis of double-stranded DNA viruses in healthy adults. *BMC Biology* 12:71.
- Zheng N, Guo R, Wang J, Zhou W, and Ling Z. (2021). Contribution of *Lactobacillus iners* to Vaginal Health and Diseases: A Systematic Review. *Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology* 11:792787.
- Zhou X, Bent SJ, Schneider MG, Davis CC, Islam MR, and Forney LJ. (2004). Characterization of vaginal microbial communities in adult healthy women using cultivation-independent methods. *Microbiology (Reading)* 150(Pt 8):2565-2573.
- Zhou X, Brown CJ, Abdo Z, Davis CC, Hansmann MA, Joyce P, et al. (2007). Differences in the composition of vaginal microbial communities found in healthy Caucasian and black women. *The ISME journal* 1(2): 121–133.